



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO.                      |  |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 10/774,174   | 02/06/2004            | Paul Richard Granfors | 141906XZ (15244US01) | 7187                                  |  |
| Christopher R. Carroll McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd. Suite 3400 500 West Madison Street Chicago, IL 60661 |                       |                       | EXAM                 | EXAMINER                              |  |
|  |                       |                       | BITAR, NANCY         |                                       |  |
|  |                       |                       | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER                          |  |
|  |                       |                       | 2624                 |                                       |  |
|  |                       | •                     |                      | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |  |
| SHORTENED STATUTO  | RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE             | DELIVERY MODE        |                                       |  |
| 2 MONTUS   |                       | 03/22/2007            | PAPER                |                                       |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/774,174  | GRANFORS ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nancy Bitar   | 2624   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply   | ·   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Fe</u>   | ehruary 2004  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <i>;</i>   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>23 and 24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | r election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | aminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | priority under 35 LLS C & 110(a)  | \-(d) or (f)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some ★ c) None of:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| •  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents  |   | on No  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau  | · ·   | ou in this Huttonial Stage   |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) X Interview Summary  | (PTO-413)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of References Cited (P10-692)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)  | Paper No(s)/Mail Da   | ate  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) 🔯 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   | 5) Notice of Informal F   | Patent Application   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/06/04</u> .  | 6)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2624

# **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-22, drawn to "method for detecting scintillator hysteresis artifacts in an image", classified in class 382, subclass 128.
  - II. Claims 22-23, drawn to a method for detecting differences in x-ray image signal levels, classified in class 702, subclass 85.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed. The subcombination has separate utility such as measuring the electric charge in both areas and notifying an operator of an x-ray system when said difference is greater than a threshold.
- 3. During a telephone conversation with Mr. George Christopher on 03/06/07 a provisional election was made to prosecute the invention of detecting scintillator hysteresis artifacts in an image from an x-ray detector, claims 1-22. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 23-24 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn

Art Unit: 2624

to a non-elected invention. Applicant's election of claims 1-22 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mazess et al (US 6,438,201).

Application/Control Number: 10/774,174 Page 4

Art Unit: 2624

As to claim 1, Mazess et al. teaches a method for detecting scintillator hysteresis artifacts in an image from an x-ray detector, said method including: examining an image from an x-ray detector to measure a first signal level for a first area of interest and a second signal level for a second area of interest (column 20, lines 1-33, note that once the scan is complete, the signals provided by the detector 13 are reconstructed in image on the computer), wherein said first area of interest includes a first image area (bone area values of process 80) and said second area includes a second image area(bone area values of process block 82); determining a difference in said first signal level and said second signal level( column 28, lines 32-56) note that the detector 13 is sampled and digitized so as to produce a signal consisting of DAS which transmit the digital signal to the computer 18 as an image); and comparing said difference to a threshold ( figure 12 and 14, the threshold for the distinction between the bone and soft tissues is determined by means of a graph and note that computer 18 compares the flux index to the minimum and maximum flux threshold, column 34, lines 45-59).

As to claim 2, Mazess et al. teaches the method of claim 1, further including exposing said x-ray detector with a flat field x-ray exposure to produce said image (figure 21, column 27, lines 27, lines 60-67).

Art Unit: 2624

As to claim 3, Mazess et al. teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said first image area differs from said second image area (data elements attributes to bone and data elements attribute to soft tissues, column 21, lines 12-19).

As to claim 4, Mazess et al. teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said detector includes a plurality of pixels, said plurality of pixels comprising a first set of pixels and a second set of pixels, wherein said first set of pixels are examined to measure a first set of pixel signals and said second set of pixels are examined to measure a second set of pixel signals, wherein said first signal level includes said first set of pixel image signals and said second signal level includes said second set of pixel image signals ( figure 19, anterior-posterior scan of a spine showing regions of pixels measuring bone and a graph aligned with the scan having a vertical axis corresponding to vertical location in the scan and a horizontal axis corresponding to the sum of pixel values for a row of scan data permitting the identification of the vertebra by minimas or rows of low total bone value, column 26, lines 66).

As to claim 5, Mazess et al. teaches the method of claim 4, wherein said first set of pixels includes a first plurality of photodiodes, said first plurality of photodiodes measuring said first set of pixel signals and said second set of pixels includes a second plurality of photodiodes, said second plurality of photodiodes measuring said second set of pixel signals (note that the detector or detector array may use a combination scintillator, photodiode or other photosensor, as described, or may be constructed of a

Art Unit: 2624

material that convert x-rays directly to an electric signal as is understood in the art, column 31, lines 4-14)

As to claim 6, Mazess et al. teaches the method of claim 5, wherein said first set of pixel signals is measured by determining an amount of electrical charge discharged in said first plurality of photodiodes and said second set of pixel signals is determined by measuring an amount of electrical charge discharged in said second plurality of photodiodes (FIG. 22, the low energy detector 37(a) includes a photodiode 304 coated on its surface facing oncoming x-rays 310 with a scintillation material 308. x-rays 310 passing through the scintillation material 308 produce light which may be detected by the photodiode 304. The photodiode 304 provides an electrical signal in response to the light, which may be processed to produce an intensity signal as is understood in the art. Optionally, in between the scintillation material 308 and the diode 304 a layer of lead impregnated glass (not shown) may be placed to block radiation 310 not absorbed by the scintillation material 308 yet to pass light from the scintillator 312, column 28, lines 5-24).

As to claim 7, Mazess et al. teaches the method of claim 4, wherein said threshold is a percentage of an average of a plurality of standard deviations (figure 20) of said first set of pixel image signals and said second set of pixel image signals (column 26 lines 54-67 and column 28 lines 1-5).

As to claims 8 -10, Mazess et al. teaches the method of claim 1, further including: automatically irradiating said detector (fan beam 23) with an x-ray flux when said difference is greater than said threshold, wherein said x-ray flux is equivalent or greater to said flat field x-ray exposure (measuring x-ray flux, column 32, lines 57-67, figures 17,31,32, note that the densitometry system may adjust x-ray flux according to the flux index and body region by first adjusting x-ray current, and then, if the flux level remains unacceptable after adjusting the x-ray current to its limits, adjusting the speed of a multispeed actuation system).

Claims 12-22 differ from claims 1-11 only in that claims 1-11 are method claims whereas, claims 12-22 are an apparatus claim. Thus, claims 12-22 are analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claims 1-11 above.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Li et al (6,996,262) is cited to teach a method to determine calcification score of the pixels corresponding to the coronary artery with respect to the threshold.

Odogba et al (US 6,404,853) is cited to teach digital x-ray detector that experience an amount of residual charge that cause image artifacts.

# Inquiries

Art Unit: 2624

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nancy Bitar whose telephone number is 571-270-1041. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (7:30a.m. to 5:00pm).

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Mancuso can be reached on 571-272-7695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nancy Bitar 03/07/2007

Art Unit: 2624

Page 9

DOSEPH MANCUSO
PATENT EYANING